

# Bayesian Sensitivity Analysis of Economic Models

---



Centre for Bayesian Statistics  
in Health Economics

Tony O'Hagan & Jeremy Oakley

CHEBS, University of Sheffield



# Synopsis

---

- Background
  - > models, parameter uncertainty, sensitivity analysis
- Elicitation
  - > specifying probability distributions for uncertain parameters, examples from MS model
- Sensitivity analysis
  - > Bayesian methods, application to osteoporosis model
- Conclusions



Centre for Bayesian Statistics  
in Health Economics

# Background

---



# Models and parameters

---

- Economic models are widely used in support of arguments of cost-effectiveness
- Invariably,
  - > they have a number of parameters and assumptions that must be specified to run the model
  - > the true values of these model inputs are not known
- Parameter estimates are typically drawn from a variety of sources



# Sensitivity analysis

---

- Uncertainty and inaccuracy in parameter estimates should be acknowledged
- To run the model with the estimated values and to pretend that the outputs from the model are precise assessments of cost-effectiveness is naïve and potentially misleading
- Sensitivity analysis explores the implications of uncertainty in model inputs



# Varieties of SA

---

- One-way SA
  - > Vary one parameter at a time over range
- Multivariate SA
  - > Vary parameters jointly
  - > Factorial designs, Analysis of Variance
- Probabilistic SA
  - > Assign probability distributions to parameters
  - > Deduce distributions for outputs



# Why use PSA?

---

- More realistic representation of parameter uncertainty
- Comprehensive analysis of output uncertainty
  - > Mean or median output is a better central estimate than the output from central estimates of inputs
  - > Analysis of contributions from individual inputs
  - > Chances of extreme outputs
- Recommended by NICE in their advice to sponsors



# Why Bayesian methods?

---

- Placing probability distributions on parameters is essentially Bayesian
  - › Bayesian statisticians can offer considerable relevant expertise
- Propagating input uncertainty in PSA can be expensive in computer time
  - › Modern Bayesian tools offer huge efficiency gains
  - › They can also provide more informative analysis





Centre for Bayesian Statistics  
in Health Economics

# Elicitation

---



# Assessing uncertainty

---

- Probability distributions on input parameters should represent uncertainty accurately
  - > All sources of uncertainty should be recognised
  - > Possible biases should be recognised
  - > Should reflect consensus opinion
    - » Varieties of opinion may be covered by scenarios
  - > Should synthesise available information
- This is not easy!



# The MS model

---

- An economic model was built to assess beta-interferon and glatiramer acetate in treatment of multiple sclerosis
- Key inputs included
  - > Natural history hazard rates for progression from each (E)DSS to the next
  - > Treatment effects in reducing progression hazards



# Natural history progression

---

- Sources of uncertainty/error
  - > Data from Canadian natural history dataset
    - » Possible bias relative to UK
  - > Parameters derived: mean sojourn times
    - » Annual DSS data, so large rounding errors
  - > Converted to hazards assuming exponential
    - » Assumption
- Uncertainties modelled in terms of systematic and random components



# Treatment effects

---

- Effects of treatment in reducing progression from published sources
  - > Sampling errors
  - > Possible biases, presenting most favourable analysis
  - > Clinical trial baselines and recruitment different
  - > Different endpoints, do not relate directly to progression hazards
  - > Relative risk assumed constant over (E)DSS
- Non-sampling uncertainty very substantial



Centre for Bayesian Statistics  
in Health Economics

# Bayesian PSA

---



# Monte Carlo PSA

---

- Monte Carlo is the standard approach
  - > Many random input configurations sampled from their probability distributions
  - > Model run for each configuration => sample from output distribution
- Requires thousands of model runs
- Provides overall assessment of output uncertainty
  - > Thousands more runs needed for deeper analysis



# Other methods

---

- There is a substantial literature on sensitivity analysis of complex computer models
- This has not yet filtered into health economics
- Analysis is often focussed on understanding the model and identifying influential inputs
- Recently developed Bayesian methods offer enormous reduction in the number of model runs needed





# Osteoporosis model

---

- Uncertainty over relative risks of fractures
- Available clinical data only allow these to be estimated with a substantial margin of error
- The model is very computer-intensive
  - > Patient-level simulation model
  - > A single run, with specified values for the relative risks, takes 1.5 hours
  - > MC methods would be completely impractical



# Model runs

---

- 4 uncertain inputs
  - › Relative risks of fractures to the hip, spine, humerus and forearm
- 41 runs of the model
  - › Needed to cover RR values appropriate for several alternative drugs
  - › Only about 20 runs informative for a given drug
  - › Unlike MC, parameter configurations not random, but *chosen* to make maximal use of these few runs

# CEAC



Centre for Bayesian Statistics  
in Health Economics

The Cost-Effectiveness Acceptability Curve gives the probability, based on available evidence, that this drug is more cost-effective than standard, as a function of the willingness to pay  $K$  (pounds per QALY)



# Partitioning the variance

---

- Variance of incremental net benefit is partitioned according to contributions from each uncertain parameter
- Uncertainty in the RR of hip fracture is most influential (39%), then spinal fracture (14%)
- Interactions are very important (31%)



# Main effects

---

- The graphs show the effect of varying each parameter, when *averaged* over the uncertainty in other parameters
- We can see that RR for hip fractures is most influential, but can also see the nature of its influence



# Joint effects

---

- This shows the joint effect of the RRs for hip and spinal fractures, averaged over other parameters
- The importance of interactions is evident



# Conclusions

---

- Probabilistic Sensitivity Analysis is an important process in the use of economic models
- The specification of probability distributions for parameters is crucial and difficult to do well
  - > Bayesian expertise in elicitation can help
- The technology of implementing PSA is complex, and MC methods will often be inappropriate
  - > New Bayesian tools offer efficiency savings and access to more informative analyses